21 DECEMBER 1972
REISSUED 1 JULY 1974 AS BTB

Remimeo

CANCELS
HCO BULLETIN OF 21 DECEMBER 1972
SAME TITLE

Integrity Processing Series 18

FORMULATING INTEGRITY PROCESSING
QUESTIONS

Witholds add up to overts, secrecies, individuation, they add up to games conditions and a lot more things than just O/W.

Although we call them witholds we're really asking a person to straighten out his interpersonal relationships with other terminals and groups.

Our normal Integrity Processing is addressed to the individual versus the society or his family or group because it's what people would consider reprehensible that makes a withold. That is the basic center line of Integrity Processing, transgressions against the mores of the group.

You can have a special mores between the individual and different groups, between the son and the mother, between the husband and the wife, between the staff member and the organization, or between the Auditor and the pre-clear (to which the Auditor Integrity Processing Form is directed).

It's a moral code that you are processing one way or the other. You're straightening out somebody on the "now I'm supposed to's" against which they have transgressed. And having so transgressed they now are individuated. If their individuation is too obsessive they snap in and become the terminal and can assume the characteristics of that person.

In dealing with this you go straight to the person's handling of masses and changes of spaces or into his most confused motional areas (not e-motional).

A person has been a recluse and stayed inside a house ever since he was 20. You don't start running houses in his Integrity Processing. You find what area he was in before he was 20. Staying in the house is a solution to something. We find an area of considerable activity that lies prior to the difficulty and then run Integrity Processing on that area.

We find there was one boarding school he absolutely

detests. That's what we handle. Every question would
have to do with that boarding school There are students
and boys and instructors and coaches and headmasters and
buildings and athletic equipment etc. Write them all down
(you don't ask the PC) then work out all the types of
crimes he might have beenable to commit against those
items. In this way you compile a whole Integrity Process-
ing Form to suit the situation.

Most often one takes the most appropriate issued form
and simply adds a few questions to cover the special
situation. You can always add some questions but don't
omit any. When you want to handle a specific area or
activity it can be more satisfactory to compile a special
form covering all the things you think of that he could
have done in that area that he is never going to tell any-
body.

This is particularly so when the area has its own
special tight mores he has cut up against and so has
individuated himself from that area, cannot as-is any
part of the track and of course gets trapped in that
particular zone and activity.

Forget is a version of Not Know. So any sensory
perceptic shut-off is an effort not to know and you have
a target.

So you can do little special Integrity Processing
Forms to go along with a special zone of activity and
eventually you'll get a "what do you know!". There is
no use telling him what he has been doing wrong. He is
too in the thing to see it. You can see it because you're
outside it.

You just put "Have you ever done anything to_____"
to a whole list and you've got a formulized method of get-
ting together an Integrity Processing Form.

A cognition is totally dependent upon a freedom to
know. Overts and witholds are dedicated to Not Knowing-
ness. It takes the guidance of the Integrity Processing
Form list of questions to handle this.

The formula then is to just make a list of all the
items you can think of that have anything to do with the
target and write up a list of possible overts against them
or questions that call for overts. Has he done anything
to_____ Has he interfered with anything about_____
etc. Don't include questions that call for motivators or
justifications.

The first rule is - any area or zone of life with
which a person is having difficulty in life, or has had
difficulty, is a fruitful area for Integrity Processing.
You'll find out every time he's got witholds in that
zone or area.

        The second rule is to break the problem down to its
most fundamental expression. Then write down those nouns
associated with it and those basic doingnesses associated
with this fundamental expression. Then just phrase up
your processing questions on the basis of "Have you ever
_____" and any other verb you want to put in. "Have
you ever done _____" "....prevented_____" etc.
You don't have to get too fancy as the needle will fall
when you get close to it.

        That area where an individual is having difficulty
he is stupid. Stupidity is Not Knowingness. Not Knowing-
ness occurs through overts. But the overt has to be hidden
so it must be an overt which is witheld. These witholds
then add up to stupidity, so of course he has trouble.
There isn't anything complicated about it at all.

                              Compiled from LRH Briefings
                              and Materials by

                              Lt. Comdr. Brian Livingston

                              Reissued as BTB
                              by Flag Mission 1234

                              I/C: CPO Andrea Lewis
                              2nd: Molly Harlow

                              Authorized by AVU

                              for the
                              BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
                              of the
                              CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY